

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Vatent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Dec. 1409 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/845,818	04/30/2001	Kristian Vaajala	944-003.031	2309
. 4955 7590 10/11/2006			EXAMINER	
WARE FRE	SSOLA VAN DER SI	PESIN, BORIS M		
ADOLPHSON, LLP				
BRADFORD GREEN, BUILDING 5			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
755 MAIN STREET, P O BOX 224			2174	
MONROE, CT 06468			DATE MAILED: 10/11/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/845,818	VAAJALA ET AL.			
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Boris Pesin	2174			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap					
The malento BATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover since	war are correspondence address			
This application is abandoned in view of:					
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Offication (a)  A reply was received on (with a Certificate of period for reply (including a total extension of time of the continuous period for reply was received on, but it does to application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely file Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 30 (c)  A reply was received on but it does not constant final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See (d) No reply has been received.</li> <li>Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee a from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL (a)  The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, we received to to the continuous fee.</li> </ol>	Mailing or Transmission date of month(s)) which exist not constitute a proper reston consists only of: (1) a tired Notice of Appeal (with a 7 CFR 1.114). The constitute a proper reply, or a bound of the constitute of the c	ated), which is after the expiration of the expired on  The poly under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. The poly filed amendment which places the opeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for the non-attention and fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-attention within the statutory period of three months are a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated			
), which is after the expiration of the statutory Allowance (PTOL-85).	period for payment of the is	ssue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of			
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.					
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$					
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.					
Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as re Allowability (PTO-37).					
(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.					
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.					
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.					
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.					
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interform of the decision has expired and there are no allowed classical control of the decision has expired and there are no allowed classical control of the decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interformation of the decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interformation of the decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interformation of the decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interformation of the decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interformation of the decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interformation of the decision has expired and there are no allowed classical control of the decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interformation of the decision has expired and there are no allowed classical control of the decision of the decision has expired and the patent appears and the patent appears are not allowed classical control of the decision of the deci		and because the period for seeking court review			
7. 🛭 The reason(s) below:					
The Examiner called the attorney of record to con		Bristine Kincaid  KRISTINE CONCAID  UPERVISORY PATENT ENAMED  TECHNOLOGY OF THE PARTY OF T			
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	e of Abandonment	Part of Paper No. 20061002			